### Field diagnostic techniques to determine nitrogen in maize Técnicas de diagnóstico en campo para determinar nitrógeno en maíz

Eduhin Roacho-Cortés<sup>1</sup>, Javier Z. Castellanos-Ramos<sup>2‡</sup>, and J. D. Etchevers<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Colegio de Postgraduados, Campus Montecillo. Carretera México-Texcoco km 36.5, Montecillo. 56230 Texcoco, Estado de México, México.

<sup>2</sup> Fertilab. Poniente 6 #200, Cd. Industrial de Celaya. 38010 Celaya, Guanajuato, México.

<sup>‡</sup> Corresponding author (javier.castellanos.ramos@gmail.com)

### **SUMMARY**

Few technologies are available to quantify crop's nutritional status during their vegetative cycle, such as in maize. Semiquantitative alternatives could replace the laboratory foliar analysis's classical approach for measuring nitrogen (N) in maize (Zea mays L.) in the field, although they require regional calibration against standard laboratory tests. In this work, we have calibrated four semi quantitative and two quantitative methods for diagnosing maize nutritional status in the El Bajio region, Guanajuato, Mexico. An experiment to test the effect of increasing N rates application on plant sap (cell extract) N was set up during the 2019 agricultural cycle. Five N treatments (0, 120, 240, 360, and 480 kg of N·ha<sup>-1</sup>) were tested, and each treatment was replicated five times. We have tested the following semi quantitative N methods: plant sap nitrate concentration (N-NO<sub>2</sub>) of the central midrib of the recently mature leaf (RML), plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> of the base stem (in both cases measured by the ion selective method, using the portable LAQUAtwin<sup>®</sup> model NO<sub>2</sub>-11 nitrate ion meter, single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD) unit record, and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). Additionally, a quantitative laboratory method for determining N-NO<sub>2</sub> in the lower part of the maize plant's stem and total N in the RML was used. Data were acquired at different plant phenological stages: V7, V12, R1, and N-NO, in the dry matter of the stem's base at R5. These results were correlated with the relative grain yield and those with a correlation coefficient (r) greater than 0.7 were accepted. Later, a critical concentration (CC) was estimated using

an arithmetic-graphic technique for the crop to reach a relative yield of 90% for each method. The proposed CC results require further validation before being used in a commercial application. In synthesis, this work proposes a procedure for diagnosing N nutrition of maize in the field that might improve the current methods used for this purpose.

*Index words:* ion selective method, nitrate-nitrogen, NDVI, plant sap, SPAD, total nitrogen, Zea mays.

### RESUMEN

Existen pocas tecnologías, aparte del análisis foliar clásico de laboratorio, que pueden emplear los productores para dar seguimiento al estado nutrimental que guardan los cultivos a lo largo del ciclo vegetativo. Este es el caso particular del maíz. Sin embargo, se dispone de métodos alternativos, de carácter semicuantitativo para medir la situación del nitrógeno (N) en el maíz en campo, pero para que sean efectivos, deben ser calibrados regionalmente contra el procedimiento estándar de laboratorio. En este ensayo se calibraron cuatro métodos semicuantitativos y dos cuantitativos, para diagnosticar la condición nutrimental del nitrógeno (N) del maíz en el Bajío guanajuatense. Para ello se estableció un experimento en el ciclo agrícola 2019. Los tratamientos fueron dosis crecientes de N (0, 120, 240, 360, 480 kg de N·ha-1). Cada tratamiento se repitió cinco veces. Los métodos semicuantitativos calibrados fueron: concentración de nitrógeno nítrico (N-NO<sub>2</sub>) del extracto celular (EC) de la nervadura central (NC) de la hoja recientemente

**Recommended citation:** 

Roacho-Cortés, E., Castellanos-Ramos, J. Z., & Etchevers, J. D. (2021). Field diagnostic techniques to determine nitrogen in maize. *Terra Latinoamericana, 39:* 1-12. e820. https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v39i0.820

madura (HRM), N-NO<sub>2</sub> del EC en la base del tallo (BT), observación de las unidades SPAD, Índice de Vegetación de Diferencia Normalizada (NDVI) y dos métodos cuantitativos de laboratorio: N-NO, en la materia seca (MS) de la BT y N total foliar. Estas mediciones se realizaron en las etapas fenológicas V7, V12, R1 del maíz, y en R5 cuando se evaluó el N-NO, en la BT. Los resultados de las mediciones realizadas con cada método, fueron correlacionados con el rendimiento relativo de grano (RR). Se aceptaron aquellas asociaciones que tuvieron un coeficiente de correlación (r) superior a 0.70. Para cada método se estimó mediante una combinación de técnicas aritmética y gráfica, una concentración crítica (CC), definida como la concentración suficiente para que el cultivo alcanzase un rendimiento relativo (RR) de 90%. El trabajo constituye un modelo de cómo abordar el problema del diagnóstico de la nutrición nitrogenada en campo. Las CC propuestas en este trabajo para diferentes estados fenológicos, se deben entender como preliminares y requieren ser validadas a nivel de lotes comerciales en campo.

**Palabras clave:** método selectivo de iones, nitrógenonitrato, NDVI, savia vegetal, SPAD, nitrógeno total, Zea mays.

### INTRODUCTION

To achieve highly qualitative and quantitative yields -without harming the ecosystems- agricultural practice demands management activities to control plant nutrition. Soil fertility control by chemical methods and adequate fertilization application are among these practices. Nutrient demand varies as the crop develops, and therefore it is essential to know the concentration dynamics of one or several essential plant tissue elements at the different phenological stages. This knowledge allows performing management practices to satisfy the plant nutritional demand by efficient crop fertilization and simultaneously takes care of the environment (Dobermann, 2005; IPNI, 2013).

The chemical plant tissue analysis to diagnose crop nutritional supply -notwithstanding its reliabilityshows limitations for its massive use (Muñoz-Huerta *et al.*, 2013; Ali, Al-Ani, Eamus, and Tan, 2017), including inaccurate knowledge of the sample collection season, high costs, delay in obtaining, and difficulty in interpreting the laboratory results. Easy-access alternatives and economic methods to diagnose crop N supply have been developed in the last four decades (Rauschkolb *et al.*,1974; Schepers, Francis, Vigil, and Below, 1992; Withers, 1982). Currently, available semi quantitative methods offer quick results to perform adjustments in the fertilization dose plan during the agricultural cycle (Justes, Meynard, Mary, and Plénet, 1997; Etchevers, 1999) at a reasonable cost (Muñoz-Huerta *et al.*, 2013) and straightforward interpretation (Rauschkolb *et al.*, 1974). Nonetheless, they should be previously calibrated for each crop, and possible for each specific agroecological zone.

Diagnostic methods to assess the nutritional status consist of sampling a given portion of the plant biomass to measure an indicator of the response to the application to an increased nutrient dose. The indicator can be the dry matter accumulation or the nutrient concentration. Biomass accumulation -the product of the increasing fertilizer dose applied- is related to the specific nutrient concentration in plant sap or dry matter extracts. The nutrient concentration is specific to the plant part collected and the phenological stage. The values for making the diagnostic are given in preestablished protocols. The best results are achieved when the experimental crop develops in the absence of restrictive conditions of any growth factor, except for the factor under study, that is, water, light, temperature, etc. (Mills and Jones, 1996; Smith and Loneragan, 1997; Alcántar-González and Sandoval-Villa, 1999; Etchevers, 1999).

Several semiquantitative methods with various functioning principles -electrochemical, colorimetric, optic, enzymatic, photo-tele detection, photochemical activities- to mention some (Sánchez, Molinos, Alcántar, and Sandoval, 2016) are used for diagnosing the N status in crops. For example, Reuter, Edwards, and Wilhelm (1997) and Mills and Jones (1996) suggested using portable ion meters of specific electrochemical principle, to analyze plant N-NO<sub>2</sub>, including a section with interpretation ranges for different crops. These authors also indicated the feasibility of using optical chlorophyll readers, such as SPAD 502® (Minolta, Osaka JP) for this purpose. In maize, Reuter et al. (1997) showed that N-NO<sub>2</sub> ranges in the base of stem on a dry base is useful to interpret the N status of the plant. Several methods for N diagnosis in crops, their functioning, calibration for different crops, linear or non-linear relationships as compared to other more robust diagnostic procedures, and other aspects for their

implementation are compiled in extensive literature reviews (Lemaire, Jeuffroy, and Gastal, 2008; Titolo, 2012<sup>1</sup>; Muñoz-Huerta *et al.*, 2013; Ali *et al.*, 2017; Rhezali and Lahlali, 2017; Colaço and Bramley, 2018).

Therefore, this study's objective was to calibrate five semi quantitative field methods and two quantitative laboratory methods with field experiments to diagnose the nutritional N status in maize in the region of El Bajio, Guanajuato, Mexico.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Study Site**

Experimental plots were established with maize (*Zea mays* L.) crop and fertilized with increasing N doses in a commercial lot of Juventino Rosas Municipality, Guanajuato, Mexico (20° 35' 19.2" N, 100° 56' 46.8" W). The soil classification corresponds to a clayey pellic Vertisols (Vp) (WRB, 2015). Before planting the experiment, non-fertilized maize was established to reduce the soil N content. Soil depth increment (0-30 cm) had a bulk density of 1.1 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>, hydraulic conductivity of 0.77 cm·h<sup>-1</sup>, soil pH = 7.0 cation exchange capacity of 34 cmol<sub>(+)</sub>·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and organic matter content of 2.0%. After the lot was depleted of N, the soil contained 89 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>3</sub> in the first 0.60 meters.

Twenty-five experimental units with an area of 30.4 m<sup>2</sup> each, five seeding furrows distant 0.76 m with 8 m length each, were sown on May 23, 2019 and had a plant density at harvest time of 95 000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. The fertilization treatments consisted of five N doses (0, 120, 240, 360, 480 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>), and each treatment was replicated five times. The experimental design was a randomized block.

The plots received N application at three different opportunities: (a) at sowing; 35% of the total N dose corresponding to each treatment applied as monoammonium phosphate and ammonium sulfate, except for the control treatment where only triple superphosphate was applied to maintain the plot without N. The treatments with N at 120 or more kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> received: (i) initially 30% of N, using the sources of ammonium suphpate and monoammonium phosphate and the rest of the required dose of N as ammonium sulphate and urea in subsequent dates; (ii) 20 days after sowing, 35% of the corresponding total N dose as urea; and (iii), 54 days after sowing the remaining 35% of the N dose as ammonium sulphate. At sowing, the experimental lot received a basal phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) fertilization of 200 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> of P<sub>2</sub>0<sub>5</sub> and 100 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O applied as monoammonium phosphate and potassium chloride, respectively, and an extra 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O in the second fertilization.

When the plants reached the vegetative phenological stages V7, V12, and at the start of the reproductive stage R1, plant tissue samples were collected for analysis using four semi quantitative and two quantitative methods to diagnose the nutritional N status. The exception was the sampling for performing the analysis of N-NO<sub>3</sub> at the dry stem base of the plant, where the sample was obtained at R5. Phenological stages for sampling were based on selected crop stages provided by Castellanos *et al.* (2019).

# Concentration of Plant Sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> of the Central Midrib of the RML and of the Base of Stem

In each experimental unit, 12 central midribs of the RML were collected in the cases previously indicated. In the R1 stage, the samples were obtained from the leaf wrapping the corn ear (feminine maize flower) and that of the base of the stem (ten 15 cm sections were taken from 10 cm above the soil surface) (Binford, Blackmer, and El-Hout, 1990).

Sample collection was conducted between 09:00 and 11:00 am and samples were kept in plastic bags in a cooler at 5 °C before transferring them to the laboratory. Central mid ribs of the RML and base steams were compressed with a manual press (Cadahía-Lopez, 2008) for obtaining the plant sap samples. The plant sap samples were diluted in distilled water (1:10) and homogenized with a vortex to reduce interferences (Di Gioia et al., 2010). The collected material was maintained refrigerated (5 °C) until their analysis later in the day. A portable LAQUAtwin<sup>®</sup> model NO<sub>2</sub>-11 (Horiba Kyoto, JP) was used to determine the plant sap, or cellular N-NO3 extract concentration. The N-NO<sub>2</sub> determination was carried out in triplicate, and the coefficient of variation verified to not exceed 15%; otherwise, the analysis was repeated. The N-NO, meter was calibrated with the manufacturer solutions (150 and 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub>) every two-triplicate series.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Titolo, D. (2012). Using an active optical sensor to improve nitrogen management in corn production. Master's Theses and Dissertations-Plant and Soil Sciences. 11. Retrieved from http://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss\_etds/11/

# N-NO<sub>3</sub> Concentration in the Base of the Stem (Dry Matter)

In samplings at V7, V12, R1, and R5, a basal stem fraction from 10 plants was collected. The samples were taken to the laboratory and oven dried at 70 °C until they achieved constant weight. The plant material was grounded and sieved through a 0.42-mm mesh to determine N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration on a dry base weight (Cataldo, Maroon, Schrader, and Youngs, 1975).

### **Monitoring Recently Mature Leaves with SPAD**

The SPAD instrument measures the relative chlorophyll concentration. When plants reached the phenological stage V7, 15 plants located in the central rows of each plot, were selected, and marked (tagged) to measure them again in V12, and R1 the SPAD units with the MC-100 Chlorophyll Concentration Meter<sup>®</sup> (Apogee Instruments, USA). The SPAD units were measured on the RML between the vein and the edge of the leave when the plant showed phenological stages V7 and V12; in R1 the measurement was performed in the leaf wrapping of the corn ear of the plant. An average of fifteen readings per plot were used to calculate the variation coefficient.

### Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)

The NDVI was measured in the phenological stages V7, V12, and R1 using a manual Green Seeker<sup>®</sup> (Trimble Agriculture, USA). In each plot, readings were performed above each plot's central furrow canopy to avoid the edge effect. The device was displaced 0.60 m above the plants' apex at a constant speed of 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>, and each reading was made in triplicate.

### **Foliar Nitrogen Analysis**

In the stages V7 and V12, 12 the middle third RML, and in R1 middle third of the leaves wrapping the corn ear were collected in each plot. Total N content was determined in the samples using the Dumas method (Horwitz and Latimer, 2005) in an elemental analyzer (FlashEA 1112<sup>®</sup> Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

### Harvest

When maize reached physiological maturity (R6), corn cobs were harvested manually in 2.0 m<sup>2</sup> in the central furrows of each experimental unit, avoiding the edges. The corn cobs were shelled, and the yield was quantified adjusted to 155 kg of moisture t<sup>-1</sup> of grain (CIMMYT, 2012).

### **Statistical Analysis**

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a comparison of means test (Duncan,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) were performed to determine significant yield differences among the N fertilization treatments. Yield data is expressed as relative grain yield percentage (RY) (Equation 1):

$$RY = \left(\frac{\text{Grain yield in the experiment plot}}{\text{Average grain yield of the treatment with 480 kg of N} \cdot ha^{-1}\right) 100$$

The measurements using each of the described methods were graphically and statistically related to the respective RY obtained at harvest. Data were adjusted with a segmented function model known as the linear plateau (Sprent, 1961), allowing for a lineal ascendant relationship and then a plateau. This result shows the variable "Y" response to the increase of the experimental variable "X" axis. The Y variable corresponds to the RY and the X variable to the measurement value that resulted from applying a diagnostic method. The normal lowered from the inflection point of this curve (where it starts getting parallel to the X-axis) and intercepts the latter is known as critical concentration (CC) or critical value. Data adjustments were performed with significance (P < 0.05). Both N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations in the dry matter of the base of the stem at R5 were related with relative grain yield (RY) using the Cate-Nelson procedure, which allows estimating a CC as a function of the minimum square sum (Cate and Nelson, 1971). This approach classifies the Y-axis variable in two quadrants with a minimum error, determined arbitrarily in a concentration range  $\pm 5\%$  around the estimated CC. Figures 1 to 6 show the correlation coefficients and the corresponding equations of the lineal ascendant part of the linear plateau model.

The statistical analyses were managed with the program R vs. 3.5.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2018).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Grain Yield**

Maize grain yield responded significantly (P < 0.001) to the application of increasing nitrogen fertilization doses, which were 0, 120, 240, 360, and 480 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> producing 5.8, 11.2, 13.9, 15.7, and 18.3 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The relationship between N doses and grain yield was adjusted to a quadratic function (P < 0.01) with an adjustment coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of 0.86, that is, 86% of the yield was explained by the N dose applied.

Table 1 shows the CC estimated with each diagnostic method for the three phenological stages (V7, V12, R1), and in the case of the diagnostics that used the base of the stem, one CC estimated in R5 was included. Additionally, a percentage of deviation of the means was included, which considered an arbitrary range where the N concentration of the plant would be adequate.

# Plant Sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> Concentration in the Central Midrib

The plant sap  $N-NO_3$  concentration in the central midrib of the RML measured in the phenological stages V7, V12, R1 was associated to the lineal ascendant

of the response expressed in RY (Sprent, 1961). The correlation coefficients (r) of this association were greater than 0.86 (Figure 1).

The CC and its adequate range of concentration in V7 was  $700 \pm 35 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  of N-NO<sub>2</sub>. This result agrees with the CC proposed by Withe et al. (1996) for a yield of 15 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of fresh corn. Reed and Hageman (1980) reported that approximately 20% of the total nitrate ions in V7 were found in the leaves' central midrib, whereas in V12, the plant sap N-NO, concentration in the central midrib and its respective range was  $450 \pm 22 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ . When the CC and their respective ranges of adequate concentration -estimated in V7 and V12- were compared, a decrease of the second one was observed caused by the dilution effect described by Plénet and Lemaire (1999). This result may be because the expression base of the N-NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, e.g., the dry leaf matter, increases with the plant age. At the start of the reproductive stage R1, the CC and the range considered acceptable ranges between  $230 \pm 11 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  of N-NO<sub>3</sub>. This result contrasted with the CC suggested by Reisenauer (1978), 500 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> for the same phenological stage of the sampling leaf -the wrapping of the corn ear, but in sweet corn. This difference could be because sweet corn accumulates less biomass than field corn grown for grain, showing less dilution of the N concentration. The hybrid used in this experiment was crystalline white corn and had an average height of ~2.80 m at the start of the reproductive stage, and the differences in critical concentration between sweet and crystalline corn are greater as the cycle advances.

Table 1. Critical values in different maize phenological stages as estimated in the RML by several diagnosing methods at V7, V12 and R1 by using the Linear-plateau procedure proposed by Sprent (1961) and at R5 by using the procedure proposed by Cate and Nelson (1971)<sup>†</sup>.

Diagnostic method	Measurement unit	V7		V12		R1		R5	
		CC	±5%‡	CC	±5%‡	CC	±5%‡	CC	±5%‡
N-NO <sub>3</sub> in central midrib sap	mg·L <sup>-1</sup>	700	35	450	22	230 <sup>§</sup>	11	-	
N-NO <sub>3</sub> in base of stem sap	mg·L <sup>-1</sup>	330	16	460	23	370	18	350†	17
N-NO <sub>3</sub> in base of stem dry matter	mg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	8500	425	8300	415	7000	350	$2647^{\dagger}$	132
NDVI units	NDVI	81	4	83	4.1	80	4	-	
SPAD units	SPAD	43	2	39	2	47 <sup>§</sup>	2	-	
Total foliar N in RML	% N	3.4	0.17	2.2	0.11	2.68	0.13	-	
Iotal foliar N in KML	% N	5.4	0.17	2.2	0.11	2.6 <sup>§</sup>	0.13	-	

<sup>‡</sup> Concentration ranges were set considering ±5% of each estimated critical concentration. <sup>§</sup> Samples from the leaf that envelops the jilote.



Figure 1. Modeling plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration in the central midrib of the RML at V7 and V12 and of the leaf wrapping the corn ear at R1 of its relationship with the relative grain yield, estimated with the Linear-plateau model (Sprent, 1961).

## Plant Sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> Concentration of the Base of the Stem

The plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration of the maize base of the stem in different phenological stages (V7, V12, R1) was associated positively (r > 0.83) with RY in the linear-plateau model (Sprent, 1961) and were adjusted to Cate-Nelson model in R5 data (Figure 2).

The CC results estimated in the phenological stages (V7, V12, R1, and R5) ranged from 330 to 480 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>3</sub>, and at least the lowest limit of this range agreed with the CC reported by Justes

*et al.* (1997). These authors assessed the relationship between nitrogen nutrition index -dimensional value between 0 and 1.8 obtained by dividing the total N concentration in the biomass by the total N critical concentration- and plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration from the base of the stem of the phenological stages from V7 to R1. These authors also proposed a general CC of 337 mg·L<sup>-1</sup> N-NO<sub>3</sub>, estimated by using the Cate-Nelson technique. This value represents the minimum concentration of plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> in the base of the stem, to obtain a nitrogen nutrition index similar or greater than one.



Figure 2. Modeling plant sap N-NO<sub>3</sub> of base of stem and its relationship with relative grain yield for the phenological stages V7, V12, R1, and R5, as estimated with the linear-plateau method (Sprent, 1961) and Cate and Nelson (1971) model at R5.

### N-NO<sub>3</sub> Concentration in the Base of Stem Dry Matter

The N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration in the base of stem dry matter in the stages (V7, V12, R1) was associated with the relative grain yield adjusting data to the linearplateau model (Sprent, 1961), and in R5 adjusted by using the Cate-Nelson model (Figure 3).

The correlation coefficient of the lineal ascendant model in stages V7 and V12 was higher than 0.80 but lower in R1 (r = 0.64). The CC in stage R5 obtained from adjustment by Cate-Nelson procedure yield better results. According to Ta (1991), as much as 40% of N in corn plants were in the stem during R1. In V7, CC of N-NO<sub>3</sub>, the base of the stem and its respective range considered as adequate was  $8500 \pm 425 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , concentrations in agreement with the results reported by Sainz-Rozas, Echeverria, Herfurth, and Studdert (2001) for corn in stage V6 with a yield of 12 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results of V7 contrasted with the CC range reported by Reuter et al. (1997) with values of 9000 to 17 800 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>2</sub> – values that corresponded to 95% of relative yield. In V12, the CC and its range resulted in being  $8300 \pm 415 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  of N-NO<sub>2</sub> and in R1 7000  $\pm$  350 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>3</sub>. These results agree with the CC proposed by Heckman, Samulis, and Nitzsche (2002).

Notwithstanding, this coincidence should be taken with a certain reserve since these authors obtained samples from freshly harvested sweet corn at R2 and R3 stages. According to Dechorgnat et al. (2018) each corn hybrid has specific requirements in acquiring N (ion  $NH_4$  or  $NO_3$ ) influenced by the soil-climate condition in which the maize develops. Nitrate concentration in the dry matter of the stem's base undergoes influence of the prevailing solar radiation and soil humidity before sampling (Fox, Roth, Iversen, and Piekielek, 1989). Thus, CC could show some variation among sites and years (Sainz-Rozas et al., 2001). Due to the above, this experiment's results should be considered preliminary and require several years of additional and validated experimentation. Consequently, the CC reported with this and other methods for the vegetative stages and start of flowering may only be extrapolated regionally while more research generates new results. Extrapolation to other ecosystem conditions must be conducted with reserve.

To evaluate if the N application was moderate or excessive, during the final reproductive cycle, Binford *et al.* (1990) proposed performing the N-NO<sub>3</sub> analysis in the dry matter of the base of the stem sample when the crop is close to stage R6 (physiological maturity). This N-NO<sub>3</sub> measurement has been calibrated for



Figure 3. Modeling N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration in the base of stem dry matter estimated with the linear-plateau model from V7 to R1, and the critical concentration estimated with the Cate-Nelson model at R5.

different soil and climate regions: northern China (Huang, 2013); southeastern Buenos Aires, Argentina (Sainz-Rozas *et al.*, 2001), and Pennsylvania, USA (Fox, Piekielek, and Macneal, 2001).

In the present experiment, we estimated a CC of N-NO<sub>3</sub> in the dry matter of the stem base for the stage R5 of 2647 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> N-NO<sub>3</sub>: this value corresponded with 95% of the relative grain yield index. This value would be the closest one to the high limit of optimum concentration since other authors (Blackmer and Mallarino, 2000; Isla and Blackmer, 2007; Beegle and Rotz, 2009) situated this value in a range from ~700 to ~2000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>3</sub>. We can speculate that the sum of fertilization dose and N contribution to soil were higher than N demand to reach a relative grain yield (RY) of 95%, which is not strange in the area.

### NDVI

The NDVI units performed on the maize plant canopy in the phenological stages (V7, V12, R1) were associated with RY and adjusted to the linear-plateau (LP) model. In the lineal part of the function, the correlation coefficient was higher than 0.70 (Figure 4).

Since we did not measure N-NO<sub>3</sub> concentration with this method, we proposed to call the parameter calculated critical value instead of CC. The calculated critical value of the estimated NDVI in V7 (81 units) of maize was lower but close to 83 units proposed by Yin and McClure (2013). These authors estimated this value for V12 of 80 NDVI, which is lower than the calculated in the present study (84 units), for the same stage. When the crop reached the R1 stage, the calculated value was 80 units. The discrepancy in the result agrees with that pointed out by Inman, Khosla, Reich, and Westfall (2007) and Colaço and Bramley (2018), who reported that NDVI measurements showed variation among seasons and sites due to the characteristics of each hybrid (Hatfield, Gitelson, Schepers, and Walthall, 2008), as well as population density and space between plantation rows (Maddonni, Otegui, and Cirilo, 2001). Finally, the present study results showed that NDVI explained -on average- only 53% of the relative yield variability, an exceptionally low value, that contrast with the results reported in wheat by Raun *et al.* (2001) and Santillano, López, Ortiz, and Raun (2013), 83%.

### SPAD Units in Recently Mature Leaf

The measurements of SPAD units made in the RML in the phenological stages (V7, V12, R1) were associated with RY and adjusted to the linear-plateau model (Figure 5).

The correlation coefficient (r) was higher than 0.78 in all cases. In stage V7, a CV of 43 SPAD units was estimated, slightly lower than the calculated critical value proposed by Edalat, Naderi, and Ega (2019), i. e. 48 SPAD units. In V12, the CV, 39 SPAD units, had a lower value than those defined by those authors of 51 SPAD units. In stage R1, the measurement performed on the leaf wrapping the corn ear was 47 SPAD units - a lower value than that defined by Shapiro, Attia, Ulloa, and Mainz (2016) of 57 SPAD units. The contrast in the results reinforces the conclusion that other reports (Schepers et al., 1992; Xiong et al., 2015) documenting that SPAD measurements were an indirect measurement of N supply affected by environmental factors, such as changes in light intensity in short periods, specific green color characteristics of each hybrid and N fertilization regime. This value must be related to the SPAD index of one well-supplied N band to make sense.



Figure 4. Relationship of NDVI with the relative grain yield of the phenological stages V7, V12, and R1, estimated with the linear-plateau model.



Figure 5. Relationship SPAD units with the relative grain yield in the phenological stages V7, V12 in the RML, and in R1, taken in the leaf wrapping the corn ear, estimating the CC with the linear-plateau model.

#### Total N in the RML

Total N concentration of the RML collected at the phenological stages V7, V12, R1 was associated with relative grain yield when they were lower than the critical concentration. In all the cases a correlation coefficient higher than 0.70 was obtained (Figure 6).

In stage V7, we estimated a CC of  $3.4\% \pm 0.17$  N. This result agrees with the CC reported by Plénet and Lemaire (1999), Campbell (2013), and Castellanos *et al.* (2019). At the stage V12, the CC was  $2.2\% \pm 0.11\%$  of N, which is slightly lower than that proposed by Castellanos *et al.* (2019) of 2.5 at 3.5% of N. This difference is probably due to the ability of the hybrid to acquire N, which depends on competence (population density, intrinsic development characteristics of the root system, and soil conditions of the site and N availability in the soil. In stage R1, the CC was 2.6%. This result agreed with the CC proposed by Reuter *et al.*, 1997), Plénet and Lemaire (1999), and Castellanos *et al.* (2019).

### CONCLUSIONS

Diagnostic methods for characterizing the N nutritional status in maize crop in El Bajio, Guanajuato, Mexico with potential use under field conditions were successfully calibrated for three different phenological development stages. The critical N-NO, concentration measured under field conditions with semi quantitative and with quantitative methods conducted in the laboratory presented an acceptable association with the relative yields of maize fertilized with increasing N doses. The plant sap N-NO<sub>2</sub> of the central leaf midrib -usually the recently mature leaf- and the N-NO, measured in the plant sap of the stem base were associated with relative grain yield with a coefficient of correlation higher than 0.80. The measurement obtained with optical sensors (SPAD and GreenSeeker) showed a lesser association with the relative yields although with coefficients of correlation higher than 0.77. The CC of total N measured in the recently mature leaf in the phenological stages (V7, V12, R1) in general agreed



Figure 6. Relationship between total N in the RML and the relative grain yield at the phenological stages V7, V12, R1. In R1 the sample was taken from the leaf wrapping the feminine flower, and CC was estimated with the linear-plateau model.

with those suggested by other authors. Consequently, maize producers and technical advisors from the Bajio region in Mexico now have references for diagnosing N maize status by different analytical techniques and various development stages. These references allow them to verify the nutritional N status maintained by the plant and take the corrective measurements, so this element does not constitute a limiting factor for the crop to reach the maximum yield possible, allowed by the agroecosystem and at the same time gives better information for not to exceed the N fertilization with adverse effects in the environment.

More studies are required to confirm the CC established and to identify variations among year, environments, and commercial corn hybrids, as well as to validate relative grain yield prediction.

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

Not applicable.

### **PUBLICATION CONSENTMENT**

Not applicable.

### **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The sets of data used and /or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author.

### **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no conflict or competing interests.

### **FUNDING**

This study was conducted through financial support from CONACYT to carry out Master degree studies. The experiments, analyses and equipment were financed by Fertilab<sup>®</sup> and PROAIN<sup>®</sup>, Mexican enterprises.

### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

Conceived, designed, and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared the figures

and tables, and wrote and approved the final manuscript draft: E.R.C. Conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared the figures and tables, supervised the writing, and edited the final draft: J.D.E. Conceived, designed, and supervised the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared the figures and tables, corrected, and edited the manuscript: J.Z.C.R.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to CONACYT for funds to carry out Master studies. Special thanks to Fertilab<sup>®</sup> and PROAIN<sup>®</sup>, Mexican enterprises for their financial support in this research, to the seed company Aspros<sup>®</sup> for the facilities offered to perform sampling of cellular extract in their commercial lots and the donation of the seed "Samurai" for the establishment of the experiment, to Miguel Peña for helping in performing whitening nitrogen work of the lot, and to Dr. Elhadi Yahia and Diana Fischer for reviewing and editing the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Alcántar-González, G., & Sandoval-Villa, M. (1999). Interpretación resultados del análisis. In G. Alcántar-González, & M. Sandoval-Villa (Eds.). *Manual de análisis químico de tejido* vegetal (pp. 107-138). Sociedad Mexicana de la Ciencia del Suelo, A.C. Chapingo, México.
- Ali, M. M., Al-Ani, A., Eamus, D., & Tan, D. K. Y. (2017). Leaf nitrogen determination using non-destructive techniques–A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 40(7), 928-953. https://doi. org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1143954
- Campbell, C. R. (Ed.). (2013). Reference Sufficiency Ranges for Plant Analysis in the Southern Region of the United States. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin #394 (Vol. 1040). North Carolina. Retrieved from www.ncagr.gov/agronomi/saaesd/ scsb394.pdf
- Beegle, D., & Rotz, J. (2009). Late season cornstalk nitrate test. Agronomy Facts 70. Pennsylvania State Univ., University Park. Accessed April 2, 2020, from: http://extension.psu.edu/plants/ crops/grains/corn/nutrition/late-season-cornstalk-nitrate-test/ extension\_publication\_file
- Binford, G. D., Blackmer, A. M., & El-Hout, N. M. (1990). Tissue test for excess nitrogen during corn production. Agronomy Journal, 82(1), 124-129. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1990.0 0021962008200010027x
- Blackmer, A. M., & Mallarino, A. P. (2000, November). Cornstalk testing to evaluate nitrogen management. Proceedings of the Integrated Crop Management Conference. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Integrated Crop Management Conference. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/icm/2002/proceedings/11. Iowa State University - University Extension. Ames, IA, USA.

- Cadahía-López, C. (2008). Procesamiento de muestras. In C. Cadahia-López (Ed.). La savia como índice de fertlización (pp. 40-45). Barcelona, España: Mundi-Prensa.
- Castellanos, Z. J., Etchevers-Barra, J. D., Peña-Datoli, M., Huerta-Garcia, S., Ortiz-Monasterio, I., Arango-González, A., Macías-Cervantes, J., & Venegas-Villaroel, C. (2019). ¿Cómo crece y se nutre una planta de maíz? (1er ed.). Celaya, Gto., México: Fertilab.
- Cataldo, D. A., Maroon, M., Schrader, L. E., & Youngs, V. L. (1975). Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 6(1), 71-80. https://doi. org/10.1080/00103627509366547
- Cate, R. B., & Nelson, L. A. (1971). A simple statistical procedure for partitioning soil test correlation data into two classes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 35, 658-660.
- CIMMYT (Centro Internacional de Mejoramientode Maíz y Trigo). (2012). *Manual de determinación de rendimiento*. México, D. F.: CIMMYT. Retrieved from https://repository. cimmyt.org/bitstream/handle/10883/18249/48867. pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Colaço, A. F., & Bramley, R. G. V. (2018). Do crop sensors promote improved nitrogen management in grain crops? *Field Crops Research*, 218, 126-140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fcr.2018.01.007
- Dechorgnat, J., Francis, K. L., Dhugga, K. S., Rafalski, J. A., Tyerman, S. D., & Kaiser, B. N. (2018). Root ideotype influences nitrogen transport and assimilation in maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 531 (1-16). https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00531
- Di Gioia, F., Simonne, E. H., Gonnella, M., Santamaria, P., Gazula, A., & Sheppard, Z. (2010). Assessment of ionic interferences to nitrate and potassium analyses with ionselective electrodes. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 41(14), 1750-1768. https://doi.org/10.1080/001 03624.2010.489138
- Dobermann, A. R. (2005, June). Nitrogen use efficiency state of the art. Paper presented at the IFA International Workshop on Enhanced-Efficiency Fertilizers. Frankfurt, Germany.
- Edalat, M., Naderi, R., & Egan, T. P. (2019). Corn nitrogen management using NDVI and SPAD sensor-based data under conventional vs. reduced tillage systems. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 42(18), 2310-2322. https://doi.org/10.1080/0190416 7.2019.1648686
- Etchevers, J.-D. (1999). Técnicas de diagnóstico útiles en la medición de la fertilidad del suelo y el estado nutrimental de los cultivos. *Terra Latinoamericana 17*(3), 209-2019.
- Fox, R. H., Roth, G. W., Iversen, K. V., & Piekielek, W. P. (1989). Soil and tissue nitrate tests compared for predicting soil nitrogen availability to corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 81(6), 971-974. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1989.00021962008100 060025x
- Fox, R. H., Piekielek, W. P., & Macneal, K. E. (2001). Comparison of late-season diagnostic tests for predicting nitrogen status of corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 93(3), 590-597. https://doi. org/10.2134/agronj2001.933590x
- Hatfield, J. L., Gitelson, A. A., Schepers, J. S., & Walthall, C. L. (2008). Application of spectral remote sensing for agronomic decisions. *Agronomy Journal*, 100(S3), 117-131. https://doi. org/10.2134/agronj2006.0370c

- Heckman, J. R., Samulis, R., & Nitzsche, P. (2002). Sweet corn crop nitrogen status evaluation by stalk testing. *HortScience*, 37(5), 783-786. https://doi.org/10.21273/hortsci.37.5.783
- Horwitz, W., & Latimer, G. W. (Eds.). (2005). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. (18th ed.). Gaithersburg, MD, USA: The Association of Official Agricultural Chemists.
- Huang, G. (2013). Validation of late season cornstalk nitrate test under different natural and social environments for better fertilizer management in China. *Oriental Journal* of Chemistry, 29(4), 1381-1389. https://doi.org/10.13005/ ojc/290413
- Inman, D., Khosla, R., Reich, R. M., & Westfall, D. G. (2007). Active remote sensing and grain yield in irrigated maize. *Precision Agriculture*, 8(4-5), 241-252. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11119-007-9043-z
- IPNI (International Plant Nutrition Institute). (2013). 4R Manual de Nutrición de Plantas: Un Manual Para Mejorar el Manejo de la Nutrición de Plantas. T. W. Bruulsema, P. E. Fixen, & G. D. Sulewski (Eds.). (1st. ed.) International Plant Nutrition Institute. Peachtree Corners, GA, USA.
- Isla, R., & Blackmer, A. M. (2007). A simplified test of cornstalk nitrate for better N management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 27(3), 237-241. https://doi.org/10.1051/ agro:2007013
- Justes, E., Meynard, J. M., Mary, B., & Plénet, D. (1997). Diagnosis using stem base extract: JUBIL method. In G. Lemaire (Ed.). *Diagnosis of the nitrogen status in crops* (pp. 163-187). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-642-60684-7
- Lemaire, Gilles, Jeuffroy, M.-H., & Gastal, F. (2008). Diagnosis tool for plant and crop N status in vegetative stage. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 28(4), 614-624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. eja.2008.01.005
- Maddonni, G. A., Otegui, M. E., & Cirilo, A. G. (2001). Plant population density, row spacing and hybrid effects on maize canopy architecture and light attenuation. *Field Crops Research*, 71(3), 183-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(01)00158-7
- Mills, H. A., & Jones Jr., J. B. (1996). Plant Analysis Handbook II. A Practical Sampling, Preparation, Analysis, and Interpretation Guide. Athens, Georgia: MicroMacro.
- Muñoz-Huerta, R. F., Guevara-Gonzalez, R. G., Contreras-Medina, L. M., Torres-Pacheco, I., Prado-Olivarez, J., & Ocampo-Velazquez, R. V. (2013). A review of methods for sensing the nitrogen status in plants: Advantages, disadvantages and recent advances. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *13*(8), 10823-10843. https://doi.org/10.3390/s130810823
- Plénet, D., & Lemaire, G. (1999). Relationships between dynamics of nitrogen uptake and dry matter accumulation in maize crops. Determination of critical N concentration. *Plant and Soil*, 216(1-2), 65-82. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004783431055
- Raun, W. R., Solie, J. B., Johnson, G. V., Stone, M. L., Lukina, E. V., Thomason, W. E., & Schepers, J. S. (2001). In-season prediction of potential grain yield in winter wheat using canopy reflectance. *Agronomy Journal*, 93(1), 131-138. https:// doi.org/10.2134/agronj2001.931131x
- Rauschkolb, R. S., Brown, A. L., Prato, J. D., & Kegel, F. R. (1974). Rapid tissue testing for evaluating nitrogen nutritional status of Corn and Sorghum. *California Agriculture*, 28(6), 10-12.

- Reed, A. J., & Hageman, R. H. (1980). Relationship between nitrate uptake, flux, and reduction and the accumulation of reduced nitrogen in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Plant Physiology*, 66(6), 1184-1189. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.66.6.1184
- Reisenauer, H. M. (1978). Soil and plant-tissue testing in California. Bulletin 1879. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California.
- Reuter, D. J., Edwards, D. G., & Wilhelm, N. S. (1997). Temperate and tropical crops. In D. J. Reuter, & J. B. Robison (Eds.). *Plant analysis: An interpretation manual* (pp. 83-284). Collingwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Rhezali, A., & Lahlali, R. (2017). Nitrogen (N) mineral nutrition and imaging sensors for determining N status and requirements of maize. *Journal of Imaging*, 3(4), 51 (1-10). https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging3040051
- Sainz Rozas, H. R., Echeverria, H. E., Herfurth, E., & Studdert, G. A. (2001). Basal stalk nitrate of maize. II diagnosis of nitrogen nutrition. *Ciencia del Suelo*, 19(2), 125-135.
- Sánchez-García, P., Molinos-da-Silva, C., Alcántar-González, G., & Sandoval-Villa, M. (2016). Diagnóstico nutrimental en plantas. In G. Alcantar-Gonzáles, L. I. Trejo-Téllez, & F. C. Gómez-Marino (Eds.), *Nutrición de cultivos* (pp. 185-216). Texcoco, Estado de México.
- Santillano-Cázares, J., López-López, Á., Ortiz-Monasterio, I., & Raun, W. R. (2013). Uso de sensores ópticos para la fertilización de trigo (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Terra Latinoamericana*, 31, 95-103.
- Schepers, J. S., Francis, D. D., Vigil, M., & Below, F. E. (1992). Comparison of corn leaf nitrogen concentration and chlorophyll meter readings. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 23(17-20), 2173-2187. https://doi. org/10.1080/00103629209368733

- Shapiro, C., Attia, A., Ulloa, S., & Mainz, M. (2016). Use of five nitrogen source and placement systems for improved nitrogen management of irrigated corn. *Soil Science Society* of America Journal, 80(6), 1663-1674. https://doi.org/10.2136/ sssaj2015.10.0363
- Smith, F. W., & Loneragan, J. F. (1997). Interpretation of plant analysis: Concepts and principles. In D. J. Reuters, J. B. Robison, & C. Dutkiewicz (Eds.). *Plant analysis: An interpretation manual* (pp. 3-33). Collingwood, VIC, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Sprent, P. (1961). Some hypotheses concerning two phase regression lines. *Biometrics*, 17(4), 634-645. https://doi. org/10.2307/2527862
- Ta, C. T. (1991). Nitrogen metabolism in the stalk tissue of maize. *Plant Physiology*, 97(4), 1375-1380. https://doi.org/10.1104/ pp.97.4.1375
- The R Foundation for Statistical Computing. (2018). R 3.5.1. Accessed April 6, 2020, from https://www.r-project.org/
- Withe, J. M., Tyson, R. V., Hanlon, E. A., Hochmuth, G. J., & Neal, C. A. (1996). Plant petiole sap testing for nitrogen and potassium in sweet corn grown on mineral soil. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, 109, 149-151. Retrieved from http://journals.fcla.edu/fshs/article/ view/91847/88042
- Withers, N. J. (1982). SAP tests for measuring nitrogen status of cereals. Proceedings Agronomy Society of N.Z., 12, 41-44.
- Xiong, D., Chen, J., Yu, T., Gao, W., Ling, X., Li, Y., ... Huang, J. (2015). SPAD-based leaf nitrogen estimation is impacted by environmental factors and crop leaf characteristics. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1), 13389. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13389
- Yin, X., & McClure, A. (2013). Relationship of corn yield, biomass, and leaf nitrogen with normalized difference vegetation index and plant height. *Agronomy Journal*, 105(4), 1005-1016. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0206